



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vizginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/049,885	06/04/2002	Richard Allan Tuck	670-1006	6321		
75	590 09/09/2003					
Lee Mann Smith McWilliams Sweeney & Ohlson			EXAMINER			
	PO Box 2786 Chicago, IL 60690-2786			ROY, SIKHA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2879			
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				M.			
	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,		10/049,885	TUCK, RICHARD	ALLAN			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAN INC DATE of this communication on	Sikha Roy	2879	droop			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) TI	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>50-96</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 50-96 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	ication Papers —						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen		A 10 11 A1				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) 2)	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(of Informal Patent Application (PTG	s) O-152)			

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 50 -80, are drawn to method of making field electron emitter, classified in class 445, subclass 50.
- Claims 81-96, drawn to field electron emitter, classified in class 313, subclass 495.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group 1 and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product of field electron emitter as claimed can be made by a different process by masking all the locations except the area for application of the first constituent which then can be applied non-selectively and then masking all locations except for that of the second constituent and applying second constituent non-selectively.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and because of their divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

A telephone call was made to Mr. William Lee on August 18, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sikha Roy whose telephone number is (703) 308-2826. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 a.m. – 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (703) 305-4794. The fax phone number for the organization is (703) 308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

ე ♣ Sikha Roy Patent Examiner Art Unit 2879

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800